ISSN: 2617-8885

International Peer Reviewed



Original Article

The Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Ureaplasma Urealyticum, the Primary Causative agent in Intramaniotic Infection, in Urogenital Fluid Sample

Dr. Hala Hussein Jasim¹*, Dr. Shatha Sahib Asal², Dr. Entidhar Nasir Frak³

1.M .B.Ch.B, F.I.C.O. G.

2.M .B.Ch.B, F.I.C.O.G. Sub Specialty of Infertility treatment by Assisted Reproductive Technology and Reproductive Medicine

3.M.B.Ch.B, F.I.C.O. G

Received: October, 2023, Accepted and Published online: December, 2023

Abstract:

Background: Ureaplasma is a genus of bacteria belonging to the Mycoplasmataceae family, found in the genitals of up to 70% of men and women. Although it usually acts as a commensal organism, it sometimes grows excessively and is associated with pathological conditions of the reproductive system. **Objective:** to assess the antimicrobial susceptibility of Ureaplasma urealyticum obtained from urogenital samples collected from women within the reproductive age group, an evaluation was conducted.

Patients and method: 390 patients were included in the current study, 197 of them were positive for Ureaplasma urealyticum. From all patients we investigated the culture of urine and vaginal fluids for Ureaplasma urealyticum microne, in those within the age between 18 and 40 years old, in the period from April 2018 to January 2020.

Results: A total of 426 samples, comprising both urine and vaginal discharge, were collected from a cohort of 390 patients. A total of 197 patients were found to have tested positive for Ureaplasma urealyticum. The given value is expressed as a percentage, specifically 50.5%. The susceptibility rates for Erythromycin, Clarithromycin, and Azithromycin were found to be 88.4% (174 participants), 87.9% (173 participants), and 91.9% (181 participants), respectively. These results were not statistically significant. Out of a total of 197 patients, a subset of 15 individuals (7.6%) shown resistance to all three macrolide antibiotics. The susceptibility rates for Quinolones were found to be 55.3% for Ciprofloxacin and 94% for Ofloxacin. All individuals in the study shown susceptibility to tetracycline.

Conclusion: Approximately 10% of Ureaplasma urealyticum strains identified in our study exhibit resistance to macrolide antibiotics, hence playing a role in the failure to completely eliminate the infection during empirical treatment approaches. Among the available options, azithromycin demonstrates the highest efficacy.

Keywords: Antimicrobial susceptibility, urogenital samples, Ureaplasma urealyticum, intramaniotic infection

*Corresponding Author, Dr. Hala Hussein Jasim, email: altememyhala80@gmail.com

Citation: Jasim H.H, Asal S.S, Frak E. N. The Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Ureaplasma Urealyticum, the Primary Causative agent in intramaniotic Infection, in Urogenital Fluid Sample.. JIMBS 2023; 9 (3):195-203

1. INTRODUCTION

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are a growing problem at a general level. Chlamydiasis, gonorrhea, syphilis and trichomoniasis are some of the most common STIs, although there are more than 20 pathogens capable of affecting humans in this area. Ureaplasma infection is one of the least known, but it is interesting to take its symptoms into account to make a differential diagnosis (1). The bacteria Ureaplasma urealyticum is found in the genitals of up to 70% of men and women. Although it usually acts as a commensal organism, it sometimes grows excessively and is associated with pathological conditions of the reproductive system (2). Ureaplasma is a genus of bacteria belonging to the Mycoplasmataceae family. This group of microscopic organisms inhabits both the respiratory and genital environments of humans, but they behave as commensals in normal situations (3). Even so, certain situations cause them to grow excessively at the colony level, which generates inflammation in the tissues that are affected. As a differential feature, it should be noted that Ureaplasma lacks a cell wall (4).

It is estimated that the bacteria Ureaplasma urealyticum is found in the genital tract of up to 40-80% of healthy women. The percentage of colonization in the vagina of pregnant women ranges from 29-42%, which can pose a danger to the baby during childbirth. This infection occurs in both the adult and pediatric populations (5). Urogenital colonization by genital mycoplasmas, including Mycoplasma hominis and Ureaplasma urealyticum, depends on the sex, age, sexual activity and hormonal level of the person studied (6). They can be frequently isolated in vaginal secretion samples (60 - 80%) in sexually active women (7). The pathogenic effects of these bacteria are found mainly in the obstetric/perinatal area (intra-amniotic infection, premature rupture of membranes, premature birth). The most frequent, Ureaplasma urealyticum is capable of invading the amniotic cavity, being one of the most frequently isolated agents (42%) in amniocentesis in pre-term pregnancies (8). The origin of U. Urealyticum that invades the amniotic fluid is the maternal urogenital tract. Screening women of childbearing age will allow monitoring prevalence and monitoring their susceptibility to antimicrobials. This is essential in situations such as premature rupture of membranes, given that the initial management of these patients will generally include empirical antimicrobial regimens (9). From a therapeutic point of view, the use of betalactams is not feasible given that these microorganisms do not have a cell wall, which is the site of action of these drugs. The antimicrobials of choice are those that interfere with protein synthesis (macrolides and tetracyclines) or that act on the enzymes that control the supercoiling and unwinding of bacterial DNA (quinolones). In recent years, the increase in resistance by Ureaplasma urealyticum to the three categories of antimicrobials has been described in various parts of the world, which reduces the therapeutic arsenal for this type of infectious agents (10-12).

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

The present study had a total of 390 participants, of whom 197 tested positive for Ureaplasma urealyticum. The study involved the examination of urine and vaginal fluid samples obtained from a cohort of individuals aged 18 to 40 years, with the aim of culturing Ureaplasma urealyticum, in the period from April 2018 to January 2020. The vaginal fluid samples were taken with Sterile Dacron or Polyester swabs, and urine swabs, from the first jet sample. The samples are processed with a specialized kit , which allows the diagnosis of Ureaplasma urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis (Culture, identification, indicative count, and antimicrobial susceptibility test). This kit uses a selective culture broth, adapted for the optimal growth of mycoplasmas (pH, substrates and association of various growth factors), added to the presence of specific substrates and an indicator, which in the case of positive cultures allows the visualization of a change in color of the broth linked to an increase in pH. This kit is validated for use in urine and cervicovaginal secretion, but not for amniotic fluid. Those who tested positive for Ureaplasma urealyticum were studied for susceptibility to macrolides, tetracycline and quinolones.

3. RESULTS

The urine samples (131) and vaginal fluid (259) correspond to 390 patients. Of them, 197 (50.5) were presented with urea plasma u., and they were susceptible to Azithromycin was 181 (91.9%), to Erythromycin was 174 (88.4%), to Clarithromycin was 173 (87.9%). While 16 (8.1%) was resistance to Azithromycin, 23 (11.6%) to Erythromycin and 24 (12.1%) resistance to Clarithromycin (**Table 1**). Of the 197 positive samples, 100% were susceptible to Tetracyclines (Doxycilcine and Tetracycline). Susceptibility to Ciprofloxacin was more than half 109 (55.3), and to Ofloxacin was 185 (94%) (**Figure 1**).

Macrolides	Azithromycin		Erythromycin		Clarithromycin	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Susceptibility	181	91.9	174	88.4	173	87.9
Resistance	16	8.1	23	11.6	24	12.1
Total	197	100.0	197	100.0	197	100.0

Table 1. The urine samples and vaginal fluid correspond to the patients



Figure 1. Susceptibility of Ureaplasma urealyticum to macrolides, tetracyclines and quinolones in 197 women (*Dox: Doxycycline, Te: Tetracycline, Ox: Oxfloxacine, Az: Azithromycine, ER: Erythromycine, CL: Clarithromycine, Cip: Ciprofloxacine)*.

4. DISCUSSION

The infiltration of microorganisms into the amniotic cavity is a significant determinant of adverse outcomes for both mothers and newborns, as well as the potential for lasting health issues in children who were exposed to infectious agents during their time in the womb (13).

It is present in at least 15% of premature births with intact membranes and reaches 30-40% of PROM. Prematurity, in turn, is the main cause of perinatal mortality, so its prevention and management constitutes an important concern for Maternal Fetal Medicine (14). In this

sense, PROM in preterm pregnancies presents a great clinical challenge. It is frequently associated with intra-amniotic infection, and the main agent involved is Ureaplasma urealyticum, as mentioned above. The use of antimicrobials, to date, has been associated with a significant reduction in the risk of chorioamnionitis, prolongation of the interval between rupture and delivery, reduction of neonatal morbidity, such as neonatal infection, need for surfactant use, oxygen therapy, or the risk of having an abnormal brain ultrasound prior to surgery. discharge from the neonatal intensive care unit (15). Therefore, the use of empiric antibiotics in any patient who presents this clinical picture is strongly recommended. The possibility of isolating the causal agent(s) of intra-amniotic infection in the context of premature rupture of membranes is made difficult by the frequent association with Oligohydramnios secondary to the loss of amniotic fluid. Therefore, the vast majority of the time, broad-spectrum regimens are used that cover most of the bacterial agents that have been described as causing early fetal and neonatal infection. Among them, Ureaplasma urealyticum is commonly identified as the microbe with the highest frequency of isolation. Knowing this, macrolides are part of the first-line empirical therapeutic regimen for this clinical condition (16, 17). In current study, more than 50% of women analyzed were positive for Ureaplasma spp. A somewhat lower percentage than what is reported in most other series, with figures greater than 60%, and up to 80% of sexually active women. However, regardless of the technique used for its detection (including the polymerase chain reaction, PCR), the variability in the detection rate is very wide, even with figures close to 31%. In relation to therapy, to date in pregnant women in our country, Erythromycin has been the antimicrobial of first choice in conditions involving this agent.

The increase in resistance to macrolides by Ureaplasma urealyticum has been reported in various parts of the world, which has generated concern regarding the reduction of the therapeutic arsenal in the case of pregnant women, in whom the use of tetracyclines is contraindicated. and in relation to quinolones (category C of the Food and Drugs Administration, FDA) they are contraindicated by many authors, and a secondary alternative by some "when the benefit outweighs the risk" (10-12, 18-20). In our experience, resistance to erythromycin is 11.6%, similar to Clarithromycin (12.1%), and slightly higher than Azithromycin (8.1%) (Difference was not significant) (**Table 1**). It is very important to take into consideration that 7.6% were resistant to all macrolides, which has enormous

significance, as it strongly limits the therapeutic options against this agent during pregnancy. These elements may contribute to failure to eradicate the agent from the amniotic fluid, and impact complications for the fetus/newborn. Failure to eradicate Ureaplasma urealyticum from the amniotic fluid has been described (9), worsening the perinatal prognosis. The increase in resistance to macrolides may be one of the important reasons why it is not possible to eradicate, although it is not the only one. Limitations on transplacental passage, duration of antibiotic treatment, dose used, route of administration, etc. also influence.

Fortunately, the resistance found in our study has not yet reached the alarm levels that have been described in other countries, such as studies in China, which describe resistance to erythromycin close to 40% (21), or in Poland, close to 30% (22), (Table 2), which practically disables the empirical use of said antimicrobial. It can only be used with demonstration of susceptibility of the previously isolated microorganism. In this scenario, although levofloxacin (a quinolone) which is considered in FDA category C, and is usually contraindicated, has been proposed in case of failure to eradicate Ureaplasma urealyticum with the use of macrolides, as an exception treatment, and having explained risks and benefits to the patient. There is very little national information regarding the susceptibility of Ureaplasma spp. to macrolides. And we believe that periodic monitoring of susceptibility is essential to choose the best alternative to treat this agent responsible for important fetal/neonatal morbidity. It is probably time that in our country we consider azithromycin as a first-line antimicrobial instead of erythromycin, given that the former has better passage into the amniotic fluid, better gastric tolerance, and there are reports in humans that show eradication of Ureaplasma urealyticum from the amniotic fluid in intra-amniotic infection (18). In our study it is the macrolide that demonstrates the greatest susceptibility. Finally, research in the coming years in relation to other antimicrobial alternatives that can be used in pregnancy to treat conditions linked to Ureaplasma will be of vital importance.

Study	Antibiotic susceptibility (%)				
Study	Azithromycin	Erythromycin	Clarithromycin		
Abarzúa F et al. (2019)	91.9	88.4	87.9		
Foschi C et al. (2018)	96.8	-	-		
Kasprzykowska U et al. (2018)	87.2	71.9	86.7		
Zhang Y et al. (2018)	47.8	-	64.0		
Maraki S et al. (2017)	96.6	81.9	—		
D'Inzeo T et al. (2017)	-	96.8	—		
Beeton M et al. (2016)	100.0	100.0	_		
Huang C et al. (2016)	61.0	46.0	69.0		

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Ureaplasma urealyticum to Azithromycin, Erythromycin and Clarithromycin in different countries. Review by the authors.

5. CONCLUSION

About 10% of U. urealyticum isolated in our study are resistant to some of macrolides, contributing to the non-eradication of the infection in empirical treatments. Within them, azithromycin appears most effectively. The escalation of resistance will impose constraints on therapeutic alternatives, hence exerting significant prenatal ramifications in the forthcoming period. The monitoring of susceptibility within each institution is crucial for making informed decisions regarding therapy selection.

Ethical Issues: All ethical issues were approved by the authors from the Iraqi Ministry of Health. Verbal and signed informed consents were obtained from all patients who included in the study during their first visit.

Conflict of interest: None

Source of funding: Authors declared no funding agency, or organization

6. Bibliography

- 1. Monteiro IP, Azzi CF, Bilibio JP, Monteiro PS, Braga GC, Nitz N. Prevalence of sexually transmissible infections in adolescents treated in a family planning outpatient clinic for adolescents in the western Amazon. Plos one. 2023 Jun 23;18(6):e0287633.
- 2. Bhattacharya K, Dutta S, Sengupta P, Bagchi S. Reproductive tract microbiome and therapeutics of infertility. Middle East Fertility Society Journal. 2023 Dec;28(1):1-4.

- 3. Parija SC. Mycoplasma and Ureaplasma. InTextbook of Microbiology and Immunology 2023 Mar 17 (pp. 653-661). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Gaspari V, Ceccarani C, Severgnini M, Orioni G, Camboni T, Laghi L, Morselli S, Foschi C, Marangoni
 A, Consolandi C, Piraccini BM. First-Void Urine Microbiome in Women with Chlamydia trachomatis Infection. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022 May 17;23(10):5625.
- 5. Lv P, Zhao F, Xu X, Xu J, Wang Q, Zhao Z. Correlation between common lower genital tract microbes and high-risk human papillomavirus infection. Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology. 2019 Oct;2019.
- 6. Gözüküçük R, Cakiroglu B. How does gender affect ureaplasma and mycoplasma growth and antimicrobial susceptibility rates?. Urologia Journal. 2023 Aug;90(3):542-7.
- 7. Redelinghuys MJ, Ehlers MM, Dreyer AW, Lombaard HA, Kock MM. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of Ureaplasma species and Mycoplasma hominis in pregnant women. BMC infectious diseases. 2014 Dec;14(1):1-6.
- 8. Motomura K, Romero R, Xu Y, Theis KR, Galaz J, Winters AD, Slutsky R, Garcia-Flores V, Zou C, Levenson D, Para R. Intra-amniotic infection with Ureaplasma parvum causes preterm birth and neonatal mortality that are prevented by treatment with clarithromycin. MBio. 2020 Jun 30;11(3):10-128.
- 9. Gomez-Lopez N, Romero R, Leng Y, Xu Y, Slutsky R, Levenson D, Pacora P, Jung E, Panaitescu B, Hsu CD. The origin of amniotic fluid monocytes/macrophages in women with intra-amniotic inflammation or infection. Journal of perinatal medicine. 2019 Oct 25;47(8):822-40.
- 10. Beeton ML, Spiller OB. Antibiotic resistance among Ureaplasma spp. isolates: cause for concern?. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. 2016 Oct 20:dkw425.
- 11. Maraki S, Mavromanolaki VE, Nioti E, Stafylaki D, Minadakis G. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of Ureaplasma species and Mycoplasma hominis in Greek female outpatients, 2012–2016. Journal of Chemotherapy. 2018 Apr 3;30(3):140-4.
- 12. Zhang Y, Hua C, Li SL. The relationship between the biovars and the antimicrobial resistance of Ureaplasma urealyticum in female patients with urogenital infections. Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis. 2018 Jan;32(1):e22211.
- 13. Cobo T, Kacerovsky M, Jacobsson B. Risk factors for spontaneous preterm delivery. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2020 Jul;150(1):17-23.
- 14. Shah K. Infections and Preterm Labor. InInfections and Pregnancy 2022 Mar 26 (pp. 573-584). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- 15. Kenyon S, Boulvain M, Neilson JP, Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group. Antibiotics for preterm rupture of membranes. Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 1996 Sep 1;2013(12).

- 16. Witt A, Berger A, Gruber CJ, Petricevic L, Apfalter P, Husslein P. IL-8 concentrations in maternal serum, amniotic fluid and cord blood in relation to different pathogens within the amniotic cavity. Journal of Perinatal Medicine 2005;33(1): 22-26.
- 17. Revello R, Alcaide MJ, Abehsera D, Martin-Camean M, Sousa E. Faro Gomes M, Alonso-Luque B, Bartha JL. Prediction of chorioamnionitis in cases of intraamniotic infection by ureaplasma urealyticum in women with very preterm premature rupture of membranes or preterm labour. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2018 Jul 18;31(14):1839-44.
- 18. Lee SJ, Ahn JW, Lee JY, Lee SH, Roh HJ, Kwon YS. Maternal azithromycin administration eradicates intra-amniotic Ureaplasma infection: The first human case report. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2016 Feb 17;36(2):259-60.
- 19. Smorgick N, Frenkel E, Zaidenstein R. Antibiotic treatment of intraamniotic infection with Ureaplasma urealyticum. A case report and literature review. Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy 2007; 22: 90-93.
- 20. D'Inzeo T, De Angelis G, Fiori B, Menchinelli G, Liotti F, Morandotti G, et al. Comparison of Mycoplasma IES, Mycofast Revolution and Mycoplasma IST2 to detect genital mycoplasmas in clinical samples. The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries 2017; 11(1):98-101.
- 21. Huang C, Long X, Jing S, Fan L, Xu K, Wang S, Zhu W. Ureaplasma urealyticum and Mycoplasma hominis infections and semen quality in 19,098 infertile men in China. World journal of urology. 2016 Jul;34:1039-44.
- 22. Kasprzykowska U, Sobieszczanska B, Duda-Madej A, Secewicz A, Nowicka J,&Gosciniak G.A twelve-year retrospective analysis of prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of Ureaplasma spp. and Mycoplasma hominisin the province of Lower Silesia in Poland. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. 2018; 220: 44-49.